

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,996	02/20/2002	Thomas Huber	2050.120US1	9681	
44367 SCHWEGMA	7590 04/01/200 N. LUNDBERG & WO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938			SAINT CYR, JEAN D		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0938	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2425		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/080,996	HUBER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JEAN D. SAINT CYR	2425		

	JEAN D. SAINT CYR	2425	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of
Filing the Notice of Appeal was filed of A blief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a
	t prior to the data of films a brief		
 \(\)\frac{1}{2} The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, \(\)\(\) (a) \(\)\(\)\(\) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. \(\subseteq \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \(\)		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

section 11: Applicant argues the cited references did not disclose determining a characteristic of a version of a program and associating an advertisement with said characteristic.

However, Rodriguez et al disclose a single program can be stored in three different versions and Colschmidt et al disclose any of the information stored in the electronic programming guide can be used as a basis for distinguishing between different versions of a program. For example, particular audio quality levels or viewing formats e.g., letterbox, may be preferred by a particular user. By way of another example, programs with fewer or no commercials, that is, those with shorter durations, or programs in a particular language may be preferred by a particular verse.

Hence, applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The finality of the last office action is proper, meets all claims limitations and maintained.